

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

This amendment is submitted in full response to the outstanding Office Action dated December 8, 2006 wherein claims 1 through 22 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,582,302 to Applicant herein.

In response, applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimers for U.S. Patent No. 6,582,302, which disclaims the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the above indicated patent.

Accordingly, the outstanding rejection of all the claims present in the subject application, based on the noted doctrine of obviousness type double patenting, is believed to be overcome.

In the event that any fee may be required by the filing of this paper, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees and/or credit to our **Deposit Account No. 13-1227**.

In light of the submission of this response, this application is believed to be in clear condition for allowance and such action is respectfully solicited.

Application No. 10/612,331

Amdt. dated Mar. 8, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated Dec. 8, 2006

F:\MM DOCS\1-PAT\PAT 2003\APP\1028-B-03 ROMERO, SANTIAGO\1028B AMENDMENT -TERMINAL DISCLAIMER.DOC

Respectfully submitted,

MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A.
Attorneys for Applicant
2800 S.W. Third Avenue
Historic Coral Way
Miami, Florida 33129
(305) 858-8000

By: 

Peter A. Matos

Reg. No. 37,884

Dated: 3/8/07